FEASIBILITY REPORT AND ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

WILMINGTON HARBOR NAVIGATION IMPROVEMENTS

Appendix G - Section 404(b) Evaluation



June 2014



US Army Corps of Engineers

Wilmington District

Appendix G

Section 404(b) Evaluation

Contents

WILMINGTON HARBOR NAVIGATION IMPROVEMENTS	Draft Evaluation of Section
404 (b) (1) Guidelines 40 CFR 230	2
Section 404 Public Notice No. CESAW-TS-PE	2

WILMINGTON HARBOR NAVIGATION IMPROVEMENTS Draft Evaluation of Section 404 (b) (1) Guidelines 40 CFR 230

This evaluation covers the disposal of fill material into waters and wetlands of the United States required for construction and maintenance of the Wilmington Harbor Navigation Improvements.

About 180,000 cubic yards of material from the Bald Head shoal channel will be placed on the nearby ocean beaches and is subject to the 404(b)(1) guidelines. The material will be pumped to the beach as slurry from a pipeline or hopper dredges and shaped on the beach by earth-moving equipment. About 50% of the sand from each disposal operation is expected to be placed in the ocean below mean high water. However after about 6 months when conditions adjust about 80% of the total sand from the disposal operations is expected to have relocated below mean high water.

The tentatively selected plan will also involve dredging an estimated 500,000 cubic yards of sediment from the Battery Island Turn with disposal in the Wilmington ODMDS. That disposal is subject to Section 103 of the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act and not Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

Section 404 Public Notice No. CESAW-TS-PE

1.	Review of Compliance (230.10(a)-(d)) A review of the NEPA Document indicates that:	Preliminary <u>1</u> /	Final <u>2</u> /
a.	The discharge represents the least environmentally damaging practicable alternative (LEDPA) and if in a special aquatic site, the activity associated with the discharge must have direct access or proximity to, or be located in the aquatic ecosystem to fulfill its basic purpose	YES <u> X</u> NO]	YES _ NO _
	Remarks: The Corps planning process resulted in the co	onclusion that the TSP	is the LEDPA.
b.	The activity does not: 1) violate applicable State water quality standards or effluent standards prohibited under Section 307 of the CWA; 2) jeopardize the existence of federally listed endangered or threatened species or their habitat; and 3) violate requirements of any federally designated marine sanctuary (See Sections 8.2, 8.8 and Appendix I of the Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and EA)	YES X NO _	YES <u> </u> NO _
C.	The activity will not cause or contribute to significant degradation of waters of the U.S. including adverse effects on human health, life stages of organisms dependent on the aquatic ecosystem, ecosystem diversity, productivity and stability, and recreational, aesthetic, and economic values (See Section 8.0 of the Draft Report)	YESI <u>X</u> I NOLI	YES <u></u> _NO _
d.	Appropriate and practicable steps have been taken to minimize potential adverse		

impacts of the discharge on the aquatic ecosystem (see Section 8.0 of the Draft Report).

YES|X| NO | YESI NOL

Proceed to Section 2
* 1, 2/ See page 6.

<u>Techr</u> a.	nical Evaluation Factors (Subparts C-F) Physical and Chemical Characteristics	<u>N/A</u>	Not Significant Significant*
	of the Aquatic Ecosystem (Subpart C)		
	(1) Substrate impacts.		X
	(2) Suspended particulates/turbidity	ļ	
	impacts. (3) Water column impacts.	<u> </u>	X
	(4) Alteration of current patterns	<u> </u>	
	and water circulation.		<u> </u>
	(5) Alteration of normal water	ļ	
	fluctuations/hydroperiod. (6) Alteration of salinity	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	gradients.	 NA	
b.	Biological Characteristics of the Aquatic Ecosystem (Subpart D)		
	(1) Effect on threatened/endangered		
	species and their habitat.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	(2) Effect on the aquatic food web.		X
	Effect on other wildlife (mammals, birds, reptiles, and amphibians).	<u> </u>	X
C.	Special Aquatic Sites (Subpart E)		
	(1) Sanctuaries and refuges.	l NA	
	(2) Wetlands.	NA	
	(3) Mud flats.	NA	
	(4) Vegetated shallows.(5) Coral reefs.	NA NA	
	(6) Riffle and pool complexes.	l NA	
d.	Human Use Characteristics (Subpart F)	1	
٠.			
	 Effects on municipal and private water supplies. 		
	(2) Recreational and commercial	l NA	
	fisheries impacts.		<u> X </u>
	(3) Effects on water-related recreation.	<u> </u>	X
	(4) Aesthetic impacts.		<u> </u>
	(5) Effects on parks, national and historical monuments, national	l I	
	seashores, wilderness areas,		
	research sites, and similar	i	i i i
	preserves.	<u> </u>	X

Remarks: See Section 7.0 of the Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and EA, Wilmington Harbor Navigation Improvements for more information on the above topics.

Proceed to Section 3
*See page 6.

Eva	valuation of Dredged or Fill Material (Subpart G) 3/		
a.	The following information has been considered in evaluating the biological availability of possible contaminants in dredged or fill material. (Check only those appropriate.)		
(1) (2)) Hydrography in relation to		<u>X</u>
	known or anticipated sources of contaminants		<u>X</u>
(3)	 Results from previous testing of the material or similar material in 		
(4)	the vicinity of the project		<u>X</u>
(4)	 Known, significant sources of persistent pesticides from land runoff or percolation		
(5)) Spill records for petroleum		· · <u> _</u>
	products or designated (Section 311 of CWA)		_
(6)	hazardous substances		_
(0)	significant introduction of		
	contaminants from industries, municipalities, or other sources		<u>X</u>
(7)) Known existence of substantial material deposits of		
	substances which could be		
	released in harmful quantities to the aquatic environment by		
	man-induced discharge activities		· · □
(8)	Other sources (specify)		🗔
Re	eference: See Section 7 and Appendices B (Engineering), C (Geotechr		
Re	Plan) of the Integrated Feasibility Report and EA, Wilmington Harbor emark: Sediments to be dredged consist of beach quality sand. Contai		
	therefore, contaminant testing of sediments was not required.		ŕ
b.			
	above indicates that there is reason to believe the proposed dredge or fill material is not a carrier of		
	contaminants, or that levels of contaminants are sub-		
	stantively similar at extraction and disposal sites and not likely to result in degradation of the disposal site.	YES X	NO _

Proceed to Section 4
*, 3/, see page 6.

sposal Site Determinations (230.11(f)).	
The following factors as appropriate, have been considered in evaluating the disposal site.	
Depth of water at disposal site	
Current velocity, direction, and variability at disposal site	
) Degree of turbulence	
) Water column stratification $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots $ $ \overline{\underline{\mathbf{X}}} $	
Discharge vessel speed and direction	
Rate of discharge	
Dredged material characteristics (constituents, amount and type of material, settling velocities)	
Number of discharges per unit of time	
Other factors affecting rates and _ patterns of mixing (specify)	
eference: See Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and EA, Wilmington Harbor Navigation Improve	ements
An evaluation of the appropriate factors in 4a above indicates that the disposal site and/or size of mixing zone are acceptable. YES $ \overline{\mathbf{X}} $ NO $ \underline{} ^*$	
ctions to Minimize Adverse Effects (Subpart H).	
appropriate and practicable steps have been taken, rough application of recommendations of 230.70-230.77, ensure minimal adverse effects of the proposed scharge. YES X NO _ *	
))))))))))))))	The following factors as appropriate, have been considered in evaluating the disposal site. Depth of water at disposal site. Depth of water at disposal site. Current velocity, direction, and variability at disposal site. Degree of turbulence. Water column stratification Discharge vessel speed and direction. Rate of discharge. Dredged material characteristics (constituents, amount and type of material, settling velocities). Number of discharges per unit of time. Number of mixing (specify). Other factors affecting rates and patterns of mixing (specify). An evaluation of the appropriate factors in 4a above indicates that the disposal site and/or size of mixing zone are acceptable. YES X NO * NO * Ons to Minimize Adverse Effects (Subpart H). Repropriate and practicable steps have been taken, uph application of recommendations of 230.70-230.77,

See Section 7.2 of Draft Report for Water Resources, Section 7.4 for Marine and Estuarine Resources and Section 7.5 for Essential Fish Habitat See Appendix J of the Draft Report for threatened and endangered species

Return to section 1 for final stage of compliance review. See also note 3/, page 6. *See page 6.

4.

6.	Fac	ual Determinations (230.11).		
	iten pot	view of appropriate information as identified in s 2-5 above indicates that there is minimal ntial for short- or long-term environmental sts of the proposed discharge as related to:		
	a.	Physical substrate at the disposal site (review sections 2a, 3, 4, and 5).	YES <u> X</u>	NO _ *
	b.	Water circulation, fluctuation, and salinity (review sections 2a, 3, 4, and 5).	YES <u>X</u>	NO [_]*
	C.	Suspended particulates/turbidity (review sections 2a, 3, 4, and 5).	YES <u>X</u>	NO [_ *
	d.	Contaminant availability (review sections 2a, 3, and 4).	YES <u>X</u>	NO <u> </u> i*
	e.	Aquatic ecosystem structure and function (review sections 2b and c, 3, and 5).	YES <u>X</u>	NO _ *
	f.	Disposal site (review sections 2, 4, and 5).	YES <u>X</u>	NO _ *
	g.	Cumulative impact on the aquatic ecosystem.	YES <u>X</u>	NO _ *
	h.	Secondary impacts on the aquatic ecosystem.	YES <u>X</u>	NO [_ *
Rema	(En	lore detailed information on the topics above may be found in ineering), C (Geotechnical) and I (Environmental Cumulative EA, Wilmington Harbor Navigation Improvements.		
7.	Fin	ings.		
	a.	The proposed disposal site for discharge of dredged or fill material complies with the Section 404(b)(1) guidelines.		<u>X</u>
	b.	The proposed disposal site for discharge of dredged or fill material complies with the Section 404(b)(1) guidelines with the inclusion of the following conditions:		· · · · · · · · □
	C.	The proposed disposal site for discharge of dredged or fill material does not comply with the Section 404(b)(1) guidelines for the following reasons(s):		
		(1) There is a less damaging practicable alternative		
		(2) The proposed discharge will result in significant degradation of the aquatic ecosystem		П
		(3) The proposed discharge does not include all practicable and appropriate measures to minimize potential harm to the aquatic ecosystem		

^{*}See page 6.

Steven A. Baker Colonel, U.S. Army District Engineer	
Data	

- *A negative, significant, or unknown response indicates that the permit application may not be in compliance with the Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines.
- 1/ Negative responses to three or more of the compliance criteria at this stage indicate that the proposed projects may not be evaluated using this "short form procedure." Care should be used in assessing pertinent portions of the technical information of items 2 a-d, before completing the final review of compliance.
- 2/ Negative response to one of the compliance criteria at this stage indicates that the proposed project does not comply with the guidelines. If the economics of navigation and anchorage of Section 404(b)(2) are to be evaluated in the decision-making process, the "short form evaluation process is inappropriate."
- 3/ If the dredged or fill material cannot be excluded from individual testing, the "short-form" evaluation process is inappropriate.